# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT MIDDLE DISTRICT OF ALABAMA EASTERN DIVISION

Case No: 3:18-cv-525-JA-SRW

## TERRANCE LAMAR MELTON,

### Petitioner,

**v.** 

### UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,

## Respondent.

#### **ORDER**

This case is before the Court on Petitioner's Motion to Vacate pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (Doc. 2). The assigned United States Magistrate Judge has submitted a Report (Doc. 28) recommending that the motion be denied and this case dismissed with prejudice. No party has filed an objection to the Report and the time to do so has passed.

After a review of the record in this matter, the Court agrees with the findings of fact and conclusions of law in the Report and Recommendation.

Therefore, it is **ORDERED** as follows:

- 1. The Report and Recommendation (Doc. 28) is ADOPTED and CONFIRMED and made a part of this Order.
- 2. The Motion to Vacate pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2255 (Doc. 2) is **DENIED**.

3. This case is dismissed with prejudice.

This Court should grant an application for a certificate of 4.

appealability only if the petitioner makes "a substantial showing of the denial

of a constitutional right." 28 U.S.C. § 2253(c)(2). To make such a showing "[t]he

petitioner must demonstrate that reasonable jurists would find the district

court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong." Slack v.

McDaniel, 529 U.S. 473, 484 (2000); see also Lamarca v. Sec'y, Dep't of Corr.,

568 F.3d 929, 934 (11th Cir. 2009). However, the petitioner need not show that

the appeal will succeed. Miller-El v. Cockrell, 537 U.S. 322, 337 (2003).

Petitioner fails to demonstrate that reasonable jurists would find the district

court's assessment of the constitutional claims debatable or wrong. Moreover,

Petitioner cannot show that jurists of reason would find this Court's procedural

rulings debatable. Petitioner fails to make a substantial showing of the denial

of a constitutional right. Thus, Petitioner is denied a certificate of appealability.

The Clerk of the Court is directed to enter judgment in favor of 5.

Respondent and to close this case.

DONE and ORDERED in Orlando, Florida, on April 2

JOHN ANTOON II

United States District Judge

Copies furnished to: Counsel of Record

Unrepresented Party